

/2009



Stockland

Stockland Direct Office Trust No.3

AND ITS CONTROLLED ENTITIES (INCLUDING STOCKLAND HOLDING TRUST NO.2)
COMPRISING THE STAPLED UNITS: STOCKLAND DIRECT OFFICE TRUST NO. 3 ARSN: 124 439 925
STOCKLAND HOLDING TRUST NO. 2 ARSN: 132 129 134 ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT 30 JUNE 2009

Contents

Directors' Report	1
Lead Auditor's Independence Declaration	5
Income Statements	6
Balance Sheets	7
Statement of Changes in Equity	8
Cash Flow Statements	9
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	10
Directors' Declaration	31
Independent Auditor's Report	32

STOCKLAND PUBLICATIONS

Our detailed Financial Report, Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability Report and Property Portfolio are available at www.stockland.com.



Stockland Direct Office Trust No.3 (SDOT3)

ABOUT STOCKLAND

We have a long and proud history of creating places that meet the needs of our customers and communities.



OUR STORY

Ervin Graf founded Stockland in 1952 with a vision to *"not merely achieve growth and profits but to make a worthwhile contribution to the development of our cities and great country"*.

Pursuing that vision has seen Stockland grow to become one of Australia's leading diversified property groups – developing and managing a large portfolio of residential community, apartment, retirement living, retail, office and industrial assets.

With the benefit of our diverse property skills, we connect together property styles in shared locations, to create communities of uncommon diversity and connectedness; places that inspire people to gather, to share and to live life.

We recognise our responsibilities to the environment and are striving to become a leader in sustainable business practices. Our positive and adaptable team shares Graf's vision of making a worthwhile contribution to our community.

We work hard, we expect a lot of one another, and we seek true work-life balance. For over half a century, we've worked hard to grow our diverse portfolio of assets and projects while maintaining a conservative balance sheet. It's proven to be a sound approach and we're now Australia's largest diversified property group and a top 50 ASX listed company.

Directors' Report

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

The Directors of Stockland Capital Partners Limited ("SCPL"), the Responsible Entity of Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3 ("the Trust") present their report together with the Financial Report for the year ended 30 June 2009 and the Independent Auditor's Report thereon. The Financial Report comprises the Financial Report of the Trust and its controlled entities including Stockland Holding Trust No. 2 ("SHT2") which together form the stapled entity ("stapled entity").

Separate financial statements for SHT2 for the year ended 30 June 2009 were approved by the Directors of SCPL, the Responsible Entity of the Trust and SHT2 on 25 August 2009. As SHT2 forms part of the stapled entity, the Annual Financial Report of SHT2 should be read in conjunction with the Financial Report of the stapled entity as at and for the year ended 30 June 2009.

The Trust was established on 3 November 2006 with Stockland Trust Management Limited ("STML") appointed as the Responsible Entity. Upon the retirement of STML as Responsible Entity, SCPL was appointed as the Responsible Entity on 13 March 2007. On 28 March 2007, the Trust was registered as a managed investment scheme with the Australian Securities and Investment Commission ("ASIC").

STAPLED ENTITY INFORMATION

The stapled entity consists of two stapled Australian registered managed investment schemes: The Trust and SHT2.

On 18 August 2008, units in SHT2 were issued to investors holding units in the Trust ("Unitholders") and stapled to the units in the Trust ("Stapling Arrangement"). Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3 and SHT2 units are stapled together so that one cannot be transferred, or otherwise dealt with, without the other (collectively known as the "Stapled Units"). This was disclosed in the Trust's Product Disclosure Statement ("PDS") issued to Unitholders on 12 August 2008.

Australian Accounting Standards Board ("AASB") Interpretation 1002: *Post-Date-of-Transition Stapling Arrangements* require one of the stapled entities of a stapled structure to be identified as the parent entity for the purpose of preparing a consolidated financial report. In accordance with this requirement, the Trust has been identified as the parent of the stapled entity. Accordingly from 18 August 2008, the results of SHT2 have been consolidated with that of the Trust and its controlled entities, the results of which has been referred to as "stapled entity" in the Financial Report. Prior period comparatives refer to "consolidated" results which consists of the Trust and its controlled entities which excludes SHT2.

CONSOLIDATED ENTITY INFORMATION

The consolidated entity refers to the previous group structure prior to the Stapling Arrangement, i.e. the Trust and its controlled entities excluding SHT2 ("consolidated entity"). Up until 18 August 2008, SHT2 was a controlled entity of Stockland Trust and did not form part of the consolidated entity's results.

SHT2 ENTITY INFORMATION

Up until 18 August 2008, SHT2 was a controlled entity of Stockland Trust. From that date onwards, SHT2 formed part of the stapled entity due to the Stapling Arrangement discussed above.

Separate financial statements for SHT2 for the year ended 30 June 2009 were approved by the Directors of SCPL, the Responsible Entity of SHT2 on 25 August 2009.

DIRECTORS

The Directors of the Responsible Entity of the Trust at any time during or since the end of the financial year ("the Directors") are:

PETER SCOTT

Chairman (Non-Executive)
Appointed 22 November 2005

Mr Scott is a Director of Stockland Corporation Limited ("Stockland"), Chairman of Sinclair Knight Merz Holdings Limited and was appointed a Director of Perpetual Limited on 31 July 2005. Mr Scott is a Director of Pilotlight, a non-profit making organisation and O'Connell Street Associates Pty Limited. He was appointed to the Advisory Board of Laing O'Rourke Australia in August 2008 and was on the Advisory Board of Jones Lang LaSalle Australia until his resignation on 31 December 2008. Mr Scott was the Chief Executive Officer of MLC and Executive General Manager, Wealth Management of National Australia Bank until January 2005. Prior to this, he held a number of senior positions with Lend Lease, following a successful career as a consulting engineer in Australia and overseas. Mr Scott was appointed as a Director and was elected Chairman of Stockland Capital Partners Limited, the Responsible Entity for Stockland's unlisted funds and is Chairman of Stockland's Human Resources Committee.

DAVID KENT

(Non-Executive)
Appointed 9 August 2004

Mr Kent was appointed a Director of Stockland Capital Partners Limited, the Responsible Entity for Stockland's unlisted funds, in August 2004. He is Chairman of the S H Ervin Gallery Committee and a Director of the Royal Sydney Golf Club Foundation. Mr Kent spent the majority of his executive career at Morgan Stanley where he became Managing Director and Head of Investment Banking. He held positions in Sydney, Melbourne and New York for Morgan Stanley. Other positions held have included Chairman of Everest Financial Group,

Director of the Everest Alternative Investment Trust, Executive General Manager of Axis Australia; Senior Trade & Investment Commissioner in Paris and Washington DC for the Australian Trade Commission. Mr Kent has been a Member of the Financial Sector Advisory Council and the Australian Chapter of the Alternative Investment Management Association. He has previously served as Deputy Chairman of the AGNSW Foundation and Chairman of the Brett Whiteley Foundation. He is a member of the Stockland Residential Estates Equity Fund No. 1 Investment Committee.

ANTHONY SHERLOCK

(Non-Executive)
Appointed 9 August 2004

Mr Sherlock was appointed as a Director of Stockland Capital Partners Limited, the Responsible Entity for Stockland's unlisted funds, in August 2004. He is a former Senior Partner of Coopers & Lybrand having national responsibility for credit risk management. In that capacity, he obtained experience in the banking and finance, mining, agriculture, building, construction and development sectors. Mr Sherlock is a non-executive Director of IBA Health Limited, Export Finance Insurance Corporation and Equatorial Mining Limited. He is the former Chairman of Australian Wool Corporation Limited and The Woolmark Company Pty Ltd, a former non-executive Director of Austral Coal Limited and Sydney Attractions Group Limited, and has acted on a number of committees for both Federal and State governments. He is a member of the Stockland Capital Partners Limited Audit and Risk Committee, the Stockland and Stockland Capital Partners Financial Limited Services Compliance Committees and the Stockland Residential Estates Equity Fund No. 1 Investment Committee.

Directors' Report

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

DIRECTORS (CONTINUED)

MATTHEW QUINN

Managing Director – Stockland – (Executive)
Appointed 19 October 2000

Mr Quinn has an extensive background in commercial, retail, industrial, and residential property investment and development. He began his career in the United Kingdom as a Chartered Accountant and moved to Australia in 1987 with Price Waterhouse. In 1988 he joined the Rockingham Park Group, a substantial Western Australian private property group. Mr Quinn joined Stockland in 1999 and was appointed to his current role of Managing Director in October 2000. Mr Quinn held the position of National President of the Property Council of Australia from March 2003 until March 2005. He is a Fellow of the Australian Property Institute and the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors. He was appointed Chairman of Australian Business and Community Network Limited in November 2007. Mr Quinn is a member of Stockland's Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability Committee and a Director of Stockland Capital Partners Limited, the Responsible Entity for Stockland's unlisted funds.

HUGH THORBURN

Finance Director – Stockland – (Executive)
Appointed 25 October 2007

Mr Thorburn was appointed to the Board on 25 October 2007 as an alternate Director for Mr Quinn. He is a Chartered Accountant and has held a number of senior financial and general management roles in Australian companies. Mr Thorburn is a Director of Stockland and a member of Stockland's Treasury Policy Committee.

STOCKLAND CAPITAL PARTNERS LIMITED FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE

A Financial Services Compliance Committee has been set up to oversee the Compliance Plan approved by the Responsible Entity for the Trust.

The role of the Committee includes evaluation of the effectiveness of the Trust's Compliance Plans designed to protect the interests of Unitholders. The Compliance Plan has been approved by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission ("ASIC"). The Committee meets regularly and must report breaches of the law and Constitution to the Board which is required to report any material breach of the Compliance Plan to ASIC.

The members of the Committee during and since the end of the financial year were:

Mr T Williamson (Chair) – Non-Executive
Director of Stockland (appointed
December 2008)

Mr A Sherlock – Non-Executive Director

Mr P Hepburn – Executive Member

Ms L Gearing (Chair) – Non-Executive Director
of Stockland (resigned December 2008).

STOCKLAND CAPITAL PARTNERS LIMITED AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE

The Audit and Risk Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its governance and disclosure responsibilities in relation to financial reporting, internal controls, risk management systems and internal and external audits.

The primary objective of the Committee is to assist the Board of SCPL in discharging its responsibilities for:

- financial reporting and audit practices;
- accounting policies;
- the management of risk; and
- the adequacy and effectiveness of internal controls.

The Committee meets at least quarterly and its meetings are attended by management and internal and external audit and other parties as relevant. The Committee may meet privately with the external auditors in the absence of management at least once a year. The Committee has the power to conduct or authorise investigations into, or consult independent specialists on, any matters within the Committee's scope of responsibility. The Committee has a written terms of reference which incorporates best practice. Its members must be independent of management and at least one member of the Committee has relevant accounting qualifications and experience and all members have a good understanding of financial reporting.

The members of the Committee during or since the end of the financial year were:

Mr T Williamson (Chair) – Non-Executive
Director of Stockland

Mr A Sherlock – Non-Executive Director.

PRINCIPAL ACTIVITY

The principal activity of the stapled entity is the investment in a portfolio of four commercial office properties located in New South Wales, Western Australia, Australian Capital Territory and a car park located in Victoria.

REVIEW AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The stapled entity recorded a loss from operating activities of \$13,539,000 for the year ended 30 June 2009 (2008: consolidated profit of \$6,182,000). This loss includes a number of certain significant items, such as investment property revaluations and unrealised loss on financial instruments that, in the opinion of Directors, need adjustment to enable Unitholders to obtain an understanding of the stapled entity's underlying profit (refer to the table below). The Directors will continue to monitor the performance of the stapled entity in light of the current market conditions.

The underlying profit for the year was \$4,140,000 (2008: \$3,402,000), reflecting a 3% increase from the previous financial year.

Distributions paid or declared by the stapled entity to Unitholders during the year ended 30 June 2009 are set out in Note 18 of the Financial Statements.

To provide information to Unitholders that reconciles underlying profit to statutory profit. Underlying profit reflects statutory profit as adjusted in order to present a figure which reflects the Directors' assessment of the result for the ongoing business activities of the stapled entity, in accordance with the AICD / Finsia principles for reporting underlying profit.

		Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000
	Note		
Underlying profit		4,140	3,402
Certain significant items:			
Net (loss)/gain from fair value adjustment of investment properties	9	(17,748)	2,685
Unwinding of discount on rental guarantee	9	102	95
Unrealised loss on financial instruments		(33)	–
(Loss)/profit for the year attributable to Unitholders		(13,539)	6,182

Directors' Report

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

REVIEW AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

A downwards revaluation totalling \$17,748,000 (2008: upwards revaluation of \$2,685,000) was recognised in the stapled entity's Income Statement. During the financial year, independent valuations were performed on all of the stapled entity's investment properties resulting in the total portfolio being revalued downwards to \$118,050,000. This represents a decrease of 13% on the 30 June 2008 total carrying value of \$135,350,000. Refer to Note 9 for individual property values.

For the financial year ended 30 June 2009, the stapled entity recorded in Reserves, a fair value decrement of \$4,256,000 (2008: \$1,710,000 increment) in relation to the effective portion of the valuation of the interest rate swap as set out in Note 17 of the Financial Statements. The ineffective portion of the fair value movement of the interest rate swap of \$33,000 (2008: \$Nil) has been recorded as an unrealised loss in the stapled entity's Income Statement. As at 30 June 2009, the fair value of the interest rate swap was \$964,000 liability (2008: \$3,325,000 asset) as set out in Note 15 and 11 of the Financial Statements.

UPDATE OF DEBT REFINANCING

As at 30 June 2009, the stapled entity has a \$89,010,000 (2008: \$89,010,000) loan facility agreement with Australia and New Zealand Bank ("ANZ") of which \$83,353,000 had been drawn (2008: \$54,193,000). The loan facility is due to mature on 27 June 2010 and may be extended on an ongoing basis upon agreement between both the Trust and ANZ. Refer to Note 13 and 27 for further detail on the loan facility.

As a result of the stapled entity's declining property values which is consistent with general property value movements across the economy, as at 30 June 2009, the Trust was not in compliance with the Loan to Valuation Ratio ("LVR") covenant under the loan facility agreement. On 30 June 2009, the Directors received written confirmation from ANZ that it

will waive its rights to take any action against the Trust in relation to the Trust not being in compliance with the LVR covenant. Consequently, ANZ will not be exercising its right to call for immediate repayment of the debt as at 30 June 2009.

Whilst a waiver of the Trust's LVR covenant as at 30 June 2009 has been received, the stapled entity's ability to continue as a going concern and meet its debts and covenants as they fall due are dependent on management being successful in refinancing the loan facility and the Trust being in compliance with future loan covenants which may require the sale of one or more of the stapled entity's properties to reduce the outstanding loan facility.

As communicated to Unitholders in the Notice of the Meeting of the Trust Members dated 4 June 2009, the Directors of the Responsible Entity believe that the most appropriate way to avoid potential future breaches of the Trust's financial covenants is to reduce the outstanding loan facility. One option to achieve this is via the sale of any or all of the stapled entity's properties. The Special Resolution (more than 75% of the total eligible votes cast by Unitholders entitled to vote) was approved by Unitholders to authorise the Responsible Entity to sell all or any of the properties of the Trust and its controlled entities at such time it considers appropriate.

Based on management's continuing discussions regarding the refinancing of the loan facility and active management of the stapled entity's properties, the Directors are not aware of any circumstances that may lead to the debt being called.

SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN THE STATE OF AFFAIRS

On 18 August 2008, units in SHT2 were issued to investors holding units in the Trust ("Unitholders") and stapled to the units in the Trust ("Stapling Arrangement"). The units in Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3 and SHT2 are stapled together so that one cannot be transferred, or otherwise dealt with, without

the other (collectively known as the "Stapled Units"). This was disclosed in the Product Disclosure Statement ("PDS") issued to Unitholders on 12 August 2008.

Apart from the matters described above, there were no other significant changes in the state of affairs of the stapled entity that, in the opinion of the Directors, occurred during the financial year under review.

EVENTS SUBSEQUENT TO THE END OF THE YEAR

There has not arisen, in the interval between the end of the current financial year and the date of this report any item, transaction or event of a material or unusual nature, likely, in the opinion of the Directors, to affect significantly the operations of the stapled entity, the results of operations, or the state of the affairs of the stapled entity, in future financial years.

LIKELY DEVELOPMENTS

The stapled entity will continue to review investment management strategies with a view to optimising both the income and capital return over the investment term.

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

The stapled entity's operations are subject to various environmental regulations under both Commonwealth and State legislation. The Responsible Entity believes that the stapled entity has adequate systems in place for the management of its environmental responsibilities and is not aware of any breach of environmental requirements as they may apply to the stapled entity.

RELATED PARTIES

Stockland Trust Management Limited, as the Responsible Entity of Stockland Trust, a related party of the Responsible Entity, holds 8,892,000 Stapled Units in the stapled entity as at 30 June 2009 (2008: 6,402,000 units in the consolidated entity and nil Stapled Units in the stapled entity).

INTERESTS OF THE RESPONSIBLE ENTITY

The Responsible Entity has not held any Stapled Units in the stapled entity either directly or indirectly during the financial year.

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY'S REMUNERATION

The Responsible Entity charged a responsible entity fee of 0.45% p.a. of the gross assets of the stapled entity. The Responsible Entity may defer a portion of the annual fees each year. The Responsible Entity is entitled to recover all fees deferred either from Trust earnings or on winding up of the Trust. The Responsible Entity charges are set out in Note 21 of the Financial Report.

DIRECTORS' INTERESTS

The relevant interest of each Director of the Responsible Entity holding stapled units in the stapled entity at the date of this report is as follows:

Directors	Number of stapled units held
Mr David Kent	85,000
Mr Matthew Quinn	10,000
Mr Peter Scott	20,000

INDEMNITIES AND INSURANCE OF OFFICERS AND AUDITORS

Indemnification

Under the Trust Constitution, the Responsible Entity, including its officers and employees, is indemnified out of the stapled entity's assets for any loss, damage, expense or other liability incurred by it in properly performing or exercising any of its powers, duties or rights in relation to the stapled entity.

The stapled entity has not indemnified or made a relevant agreement for indemnifying against a liability in respect of any person who is the auditor of the stapled entity.

Directors' Report

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

INDEMNITIES AND INSURANCE OF OFFICERS AND AUDITORS (CONTINUED)

Insurance premiums

The Responsible Entity has paid insurance premiums in respect of Directors' and officers' liability insurance contracts for the Directors. Such insurance contracts insure against certain liabilities (subject to specified exclusions) for persons who are or have been Directors and officers of the Responsible Entity.

In addition, the Responsible Entity has paid insurance premiums for professional indemnity insurance policies to cover certain risks for the Directors.

Details of the nature and the amount of the liabilities covered or the amount of the premium paid has not been included as such disclosure is prohibited under the terms of the insurance contracts.

LEAD AUDITOR'S INDEPENDENCE DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 307C OF THE CORPORATIONS ACT 2001

The external auditor's independence declaration is set out on page 5 and forms part of the Directors' Report for the year ended 30 June 2009.

ROUNDING

The stapled entity is an entity of the kind referred to in ASIC Class Order 98/100 (as amended) and in accordance with that Class Order, amounts in the Financial Reports and Directors' Report have been rounded to the nearest thousand dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Signed in accordance with a resolution of the Directors:



Peter Scott
Chairman

Dated at Sydney, 25 August 2009

Lead Auditor's Independence Declaration

under Section 307C of the Corporations Act 2001



To: the directors of Stockland Capital Partners Limited, the Responsible Entity of Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3 and its controlled entities, including Stockland Holding Trust No. 2.

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, in relation to the audit for the year ended 30 June 2009 there have been:

- (i) no contraventions of the auditor independence requirements as set out in the Corporations Act 2001 in relation to the audit; and
- (ii) no contraventions of any applicable code of professional conduct in relation to the audit.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Fleming'.

KPMG

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Fleming'.

Scott Fleming

Partner

Sydney

25 August 2009

Income Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	Notes	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
Revenue and other income					
Rent from investment properties		13,747	12,906	539	3,645
Net gain from fair value adjustment of investment properties	9	–	2,685	–	–
Interest income		103	366	1,912	366
Unwinding of discount on rental guarantee		102	95	–	–
Distribution received	23	–	–	6,474	4,965
Total revenue and other income		13,952	16,052	8,925	8,976
Net loss from fair value adjustment of investment properties	9	(17,748)	–	–	(2,308)
Investment property expenses		(3,114)	(3,292)	(133)	(1,188)
Finance costs relating to interest-bearing liabilities at amortised cost		(5,214)	(3,561)	(5,214)	(3,561)
Finance costs to related parties at amortised cost	21	(332)	(1,314)	(332)	(1,314)
Unwinding of discount on deferred consideration	14	–	(773)	–	(773)
Impairment of units in controlled entities		–	–	(12,000)	–
Auditors' remuneration	4	(186)	(132)	(132)	(132)
Responsible Entity fees	21	(627)	(651)	(484)	(651)
Unrealised loss on cash flow hedge		(33)	–	(33)	–
Other expenses		(237)	(147)	(175)	(147)
Total expenses		(27,491)	(9,870)	(18,503)	(10,074)
(Loss)/profit from operating activities		(13,539)	6,182	(9,578)	(1,098)
(Loss)/profit from operating activities attributable to:					
Unitholders of the Trust (parent entity)		(10,666)	6,182	(9,578)	(1,098)
Unitholders of SHT2 (as minority interest)		(2,873)	–	–	–
		(13,539)	6,182	(9,578)	(1,098)

The above Income Statements should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Balance Sheets

As at 30 June 2009

	Notes	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
Current assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	5	3,855	7,915	3,448	4,768
Trade and other receivables	6	133	1,166	6	1,060
Intercompany loans	23	–	–	60,249	67,238
Loan to related entities	7	–	–	32,032	–
Other assets	8	577	259	48	129
Total current assets		4,565	9,340	95,783	73,195
Non-current assets					
Investment properties	9	114,425	133,293	–	37,162
Units in controlled entities		–	–	16,046	28,046
Trade and other receivables	10	927	600	–	304
Other assets	11	2,319	4,735	–	3,325
Total non-current assets		117,671	138,628	16,046	68,837
Total assets		122,236	147,968	111,829	142,032
Current liabilities					
Trade and other payables	12	3,322	4,530	1,768	4,024
Interest-bearing loans and borrowings	13	83,225	–	83,225	–
Other liabilities	14	1,212	33,458	12	32,302
Total current liabilities		87,759	37,988	85,005	36,326
Non-current liabilities					
Interest-bearing loans and borrowings	13	–	53,887	–	53,887
Other liabilities	15	964	–	964	–
Total non-current liabilities		964	53,887	964	53,887
Total liabilities		88,723	91,875	85,969	90,213
Net assets		33,513	56,093	25,860	51,819
Unitholders' funds					
Issued capital	16	36,884	49,009	36,884	49,009
Undistributed (loss)/profit		(6,907)	3,759	(10,093)	(515)
Reserves	17	(931)	3,325	(931)	3,325
		29,046	56,093	25,860	51,819
Minority interest		4,467	–	–	–
Total Unitholders' funds		33,513	56,093	25,860	51,819

The above Balance Sheets should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Statement of Changes in Equity

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

Stapled/consolidated	Notes	Attributable to Unitholders of the stapled/consolidated entity								Total Stapled Unitholders' Funds	
		Issued capital		Undistributed (loss)/profit		Reserves		Minority interest		2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
		2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000		
Opening balance		49,009	53,794	3,759	(2,423)	3,325	1,615	–	–	56,093	52,986
Effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges		–	–	–	–	(4,256)	1,710	–	–	(4,256)	1,710
Total income and expenses recognised directly in equity for the financial year		–	–	–	–	(4,256)	1,710	–	–	(4,256)	1,710
(Loss)/profit for the financial year		–	–	(10,666)	6,182	–	–	(2,873)	–	(13,539)	6,182
Total recognised income and expenses for the financial year		–	–	(10,666)	6,182	(4,256)	1,710	(2,873)	–	(17,795)	7,892
Return of capital to Unitholders		(8,008)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(8,008)	–
Deferred establishment costs	16	(36)	(13)	–	–	–	–	–	–	(36)	(13)
Distributions paid/payable to Unitholders	18	(4,081)	(4,772)	–	–	–	–	(481)	–	(4,562)	(4,772)
Units issued by minority interest to Unitholders		–	–	–	–	–	–	7,821	–	7,821	–
Closing balance		36,884	49,009	(6,907)	3,759	(931)	3,325	4,467	–	33,513	56,093

Trust	Notes	Attributable to Unitholders of the Trust								Total Unitholders' Funds	
		Issued capital		Undistributed loss		Reserves		2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
		2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000				
Opening balance		49,009	53,794	(515)	583	3,325	1,615	51,819	55,992		
Effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges		–	–	–	–	(4,256)	1,710	(4,256)	1,710		
Total income and expenses recognised directly in equity for the financial year		–	–	–	–	(4,256)	1,710	(4,256)	1,710		
Loss for the financial year		–	–	(9,578)	(1,098)	–	–	(9,578)	(1,098)		
Total recognised income and expenses for the financial year		–	–	(9,578)	(1,098)	(4,256)	1,710	(13,834)	612		
Return of capital to Unitholders		(8,008)	–	–	–	–	–	(8,008)	–		
Deferred establishment costs	16	(36)	(13)	–	–	–	–	(36)	(13)		
Distribution paid/payable to Unitholders	18	(4,081)	(4,772)	–	–	–	–	(4,081)	(4,772)		
Closing balance		36,884	49,009	(10,093)	(515)	(931)	3,325	25,860	51,819		

The above Statement of Changes in Equity should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Cash Flow Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	Notes	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
Cash flows from operating activities					
Cash receipts in the course of operations		14,949	15,538	1,710	4,913
Cash payments in the course of operations		(5,960)	(3,867)	(2,592)	(1,471)
Interest received		103	366	1,912	366
Interest paid		(5,340)	(4,870)	(5,340)	(4,870)
Net cash inflow from/(utilised in) operating activities	19	3,752	7,167	(4,310)	(1,062)
Cash flows from investing activities					
Payment for investment properties		(32,080)	(364)	–	(203)
Units issued in controlled entities		–	–	–	(10,000)
Net cash utilised in investing activities		(32,080)	(364)	–	(10,203)
Cash flows from financing activities					
Proceeds from external party financing		29,160	–	29,160	–
Borrowing costs paid		(31)	(124)	(31)	(124)
Payment of establishment fee		–	(578)	–	(578)
Return of capital to Unitholders	16	(8,008)	–	(8,008)	–
Proceeds from issue of Stapled Units to Unitholders	21	8,008	–	–	–
Payment of transaction costs		(187)	–	–	–
Distributions paid to Unitholders	18	(4,674)	(3,579)	(4,674)	(3,579)
Loan to related entity	7	–	–	(32,032)	–
Receipts for intercompany loans		–	–	18,575	14,921
Net cash inflow from/(utilised in) financing activities		24,268	(4,281)	2,990	10,640
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents		(4,060)	2,522	(1,320)	(625)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the financial year		7,915	5,393	4,768	5,393
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the financial year	5	3,855	7,915	3,448	4,768

The above Cash Flow Statements should be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3 ("the Trust") is a Managed Investment Scheme domiciled in Australia. The Financial Report as at and for the financial year ended 30 June 2009 comprises of the Financial Report of the Trust and its controlled entities including SHT2, which together form the stapled entity ("stapled entity").

The Financial Report as at and for the financial year ended 30 June 2009 was authorised for issue by the Directors of the Responsible Entity on 25 August 2009.

(a) STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Financial Report is a general purpose financial report which has been prepared in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards ("AASBs") (including Australian Interpretations) adopted by the Australian Accounting Standards Board ("AASB") and the Corporations Act 2001. The Financial Report of the stapled entity and the Trust comply with the International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRSs") and interpretations adopted by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

(b) BASIS OF PREPARATION

The Financial Report is presented in Australian dollars, which is the stapled entity's functional currency.

The Financial Report has been prepared on the basis of the going concern and historical cost conventions except for derivative financial instruments and investment properties which are stated at their fair value.

As at 30 June 2009, the stapled entity has a net current asset deficiency of \$83,194,000 (2008: \$28,648,000) which is mainly due to the current classification of the Trust's loan facility, due to expire on 27 June 2010. The ability of the stapled entity to continue as a going concern and meet its debts and commitments as they fall due is dependent upon the Trust

being successful in refinancing the loan facility and the Trust being in compliance with future loan covenants which may require the sale of one or more of the stapled entity's properties to reduce the outstanding loan facility.

The Directors are not aware of any circumstances that may lead to the Trust not obtaining refinancing of the loan facility prior to expiry. On the date of this report, being 25 August 2009, based on information known at this date, the Directors have formed the view that the Financial Report for the year ended 30 June 2009, can be prepared on a going concern basis as they believe reasonable grounds exist to expect the loan facility will be refinanced. The Directors believe that while this is a material uncertainty, it does not cast doubt on the stapled entity's ability to continue as a going concern.

This view was formed by taking into account a number of factors including the financial position of the stapled entity as at 30 June 2009 and information known at the date of this report, and includes the following:

- As at 30 June 2009, the stapled entity's total assets equal \$122,236,000. This value is 1.5 times the amount of drawn debt of \$83,353,000; and
- The Trust can demonstrate that it can service the interest payments on the existing loan facility. In addition, the Trust will be able to fund an increase in margin on the loan facility.

Further information in relation to the loan facility can be found in Note 13 to the Financial Report.

The Trust is an entity of the kind referred to in ASIC Class Order 98/100 (as amended) and in accordance with that Class Order, amounts in the Financial Report have been rounded to the nearest thousand dollars, unless otherwise stated.

The preparation of Financial Statements requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of accounting policies and reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Actual results may differ from these estimates. Estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognised in the period in which the estimate is revised and in any future periods affected. Refer to Note 2 for significant areas of estimation.

The accounting policies have been applied consistently throughout the stapled entity for the purposes of this Financial Report.

The significant policies which have been adopted in the preparation of this Financial Report are:

(c) BASIS OF CONSOLIDATION

The Financial Reports have been prepared based on a business combination by the parent entity and in recognition of the fact that the units of the Trust and SHT2 have been stapled and cannot be traded separately and can only be traded as stapled units.

On 18 August 2008, the units in SHT2 were stapled to the units in the Trust. AASB Interpretation 1002: *Post-Date-of-Transition Stapling Arrangements* requires that for the purposes of statutory reporting, one of the stapled entities is to be identified as the parent entity. In accordance with this requirement, the Trust was identified as the parent with SHT2 being the acquiree. Accordingly, the stapled entities which comprise of the Trust, its controlled entities and SHT2, are represented as the consolidated financial statements of the Trust, however in accordance with the AASB Interpretation, the interest in SHT2 is shown as a minority interest in both the Income Statement and Balance Sheet.

Controlled entities are entities controlled by the Trust. Control exists when the Trust has the power, directly or indirectly, to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. In assessing control, potential voting rights that presently are exercisable or convertible are taken into account.

The Financial Statements of controlled entities are included in the consolidated Financial Report from the date that control commences until the date that control ceases.

Any balances, unrealised gains or income and expenses resulting from transactions with or between controlled entities are eliminated in full within the stapled entity.

(d) INVESTMENTS

Controlled entities

Investments in controlled entities are carried at the lower of cost and recoverable amount in the Trust's Financial Statements. Minority interests are shown as a separate item in the stapled entity's Financial Statements.

(e) REVENUE RECOGNITION

Revenue is recognised at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable net of the amount of goods and services tax ("GST") levied.

Rent from investment properties

Rent from investment properties is recognised in the Income Statement on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Rent not received at balance date is reflected in the Balance Sheet as a receivable or if paid in advance, as rents in advance. Lease incentives granted are recognised over the lease term, on a straight-line basis, as a reduction of rent.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

(e) REVENUE RECOGNITION (CONTINUED)

Interest income

Interest income is recognised in the Income Statement as it accrues using the effective interest method and if not received at balance date, is reflected in the Balance Sheet as a receivable.

Distributions

Revenue from distributions from controlled entities are recognised in the Income Statement on the date the Trust's right to receive payment is established, being the date when they are declared by those entities.

(f) SEGMENT REPORTING

A segment is a distinguishable component of the stapled entity that is engaged either in providing related products or services (business segment), or in providing products or services within a particular economic environment (geographical segment), which is subject to risks and rewards that are different from those of other segments.

(g) GOODS AND SERVICES TAX

Revenues, expenses and assets are recognised net of the amount of GST except where the amount of GST incurred is not recoverable from the relevant taxation authority. In these circumstances, the GST is recognised as part of the cost of acquisition of the asset or as part of the expense.

Receivables and payables are stated with the amount of GST included. The net amount of GST recoverable from, or payable to, the relevant taxation authority is included as a current asset or liability in the Balance Sheet.

Cash flows are included in the Cash Flow Statement on a gross basis. The GST components of cash flows arising from investing and financing activities which are recoverable from, or payable to, the taxation authority are classified as operating cash flows.

(h) INCOME TAX

Under current Australian income tax legislation, the Trust and the stapled entity are not liable for income tax, provided that the taxable income (including any assessable component of any capital gains from the sale of investment assets) is fully distributed to Unitholders each year. Tax allowances for building, plant and equipment depreciation are distributed to Unitholders in the form of tax deferred components of distributions.

(i) DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The stapled entity holds derivative financial instruments to hedge interest rate risk exposures arising from operational, financing and investment activities. In accordance with the Responsible Entity's treasury policy, the stapled entity does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Derivative financial instruments are recognised initially at fair value and subsequently are remeasured at each balance date. The gain or loss on re-measurement to fair value is recognised in the Income Statement. However, where derivatives qualify for hedge accounting, recognition of any resultant gain or loss depends on the nature of the item being hedged. Refer Note 1(j).

(j) HEDGING

The Responsible Entity formally designates and documents the relationship between hedging instruments and hedged items at the inception of the transaction, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. The Responsible Entity also documents its assessment, both at hedge inception and on an ongoing basis, of whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions have been and will continue to be highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items.

Cash flow hedge

A cash flow hedge is a hedge of the exposure to variability in cash flows attributable to a particular risk associated with an asset, liability or highly probable forecast transaction that could affect the Income Statement.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges is recognised in equity. The gain or loss relating to the ineffective portion is recognised immediately in the Income Statement.

Amounts in equity are recognised in the Income Statement in the periods when the hedged item is recognised in the Income Statement.

When the forecast transaction that is hedged results in the recognition of a non-financial asset or a non-financial liability, the gains and losses previously in equity are transferred into the initial measurement of the cost of the asset or liability.

Hedge accounting is discontinued when the hedging instrument expires or is sold, terminated or exercised, or no longer qualifies for hedge accounting. At that time, any cumulative gain or loss existing in equity at that time remains in equity and is recognised when the forecast transaction is ultimately recognised in the Income Statement. When a forecast transaction is no longer expected to occur, the cumulative gain or loss that was recognised in equity is recognised immediately in the Income Statement.

(k) FINANCE COSTS

Finance costs to external parties

Finance costs to external parties include interest, amortisation of discounts or premiums relating to borrowings and amortisation of ancillary costs incurred in connection with arrangement of borrowings.

Where interest rates are hedged, the finance costs are recognised net of any realised effect of the hedge.

Finance costs to external parties are recognised as an expense in the Income Statement on an accruals basis, and if not paid at balance date are reflected in the Balance Sheet as a liability.

(l) CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash balances and at call deposits. Bank overdrafts that are repayable on demand and form part of the stapled entity's cash management are included as a component of cash and cash equivalents for the purpose of the Cash Flow Statement.

(m) IMPAIRMENT OF ASSETS

The carrying amounts of the stapled entity's assets are reviewed at each balance date, to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If any such indication exists, the asset's recoverable amount is estimated.

An impairment loss is recognised whenever the carrying amount of an asset or its cash generating unit exceeds its recoverable amount. Impairment losses are recognised in the Income Statement, unless an asset has previously been revalued, in which case the impairment loss is recognised as a reversal to the extent of that previous revaluation with any excess impairment losses recognised through the Income Statement.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

(m) IMPAIRMENT OF ASSETS (CONTINUED)

Calculation of recoverable amount

Impairment of receivables is not recognised until objective evidence is available that a loss event has occurred. Significant receivables are individually assessed for impairment. Non-significant receivables are not individually assessed. Instead, impairment testing is performed by placing non-significant receivables in portfolios of similar risk profiles, based on objective evidence from historical experience adjusted for any effects of conditions existing at each balance date.

The recoverable amount of other assets is the greater of their fair value less costs to sell, and value in use. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects the current market assessment of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. For an asset that does not generate largely independent cash flows, the recoverable amount is determined for the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs.

Reversals of impairment

An impairment loss is reversed only to the extent that the asset's carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of amortisation, if no impairment loss had been recognised.

An impairment loss in respect of receivables carried at amortised cost is reversed if the subsequent increase in recoverable amount can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment loss was recognised.

(n) TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES

Trade and other payables are stated at cost.

Distributions to Unitholders

Distributions payable are recognised in the reporting period in which the distributions are declared, determined, or publicly recommended by the Directors of the Responsible Entity on or before the end of the financial year, but not distributed at balance date.

(o) INTEREST-BEARING LOANS AND BORROWINGS

Interest-bearing loans and borrowings are recognised initially at fair value less attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, interest-bearing loans and borrowings are stated at amortised cost with any difference between cost and redemption value being recognised in the Income Statement over the period of the borrowings on an effective interest basis unless there is an effective fair value hedge of the borrowings, in which case the borrowings are carried at fair value and changes in the fair value is recognised in the Income Statement.

(p) PROVISIONS

A provision is recognised when a present legal or constructive obligation exists as a result of a past event and it is probable that a future sacrifice of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation, the timing or amount of which is uncertain.

If the effect is material, provisions are determined by discounting the expected future cash flows at the rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and, where appropriate, the risks specific to the liability.

Performance fee

The performance fee will be recognised in the Income Statement on an accrual basis. The performance fee is calculated in accordance with the Constitution based on the value of the stapled entity's properties at the current

balance date, discounted to reflect the projected life of the stapled entity and inherent market risks. The performance fee recognised will continue to be remeasured at each reporting date to reflect movements in the stapled entity's performance during the period. Any revision to the performance fee will be adjusted through the Income Statement in the current financial year.

(q) INVESTMENT PROPERTIES

Investment properties comprise investment interests in land and buildings (including integral plant and equipment) held for the purpose of producing rental income, capital appreciation, or both.

Investment properties are initially recognised at cost including any acquisition costs. Investment properties are subsequently stated at fair value at each balance date with any gain or loss arising from a change in fair value recognised in the Income Statement in the period.

Where a property is undergoing redevelopment, it is carried at fair value. Where property does not qualify as investment property but is to be redeveloped into investment property it is treated as property, plant and equipment and carried at cost until completion and then transferred to investment property at fair value.

Lease incentives provided by the stapled entity to lessees, and rental guarantees which may be received by the stapled entity from third parties (arising from the acquisition of investment properties) are included in the measurement of fair value of investment property and are treated as separate assets. Such assets are amortised over the respective periods to which the lease incentives and rental guarantees apply, either using a straight-line basis, or a basis which is more representative of the pattern of benefits.

When an item of property, plant and equipment is transferred to investment property following a change in its use, any differences arising at the date of transfer between the carrying amount of the item immediately prior to transfer and its fair value is recognised directly in equity if it is a gain. Upon disposal of the item, the gain is transferred to retained earnings. Any loss arising in this manner is recognised immediately in the Income Statement.

If an investment property becomes owner-occupied, it is reclassified as property, plant and equipment and its fair value at the date of reclassification becomes its cost for accounting purposes of subsequent recording. When the Trust begins to redevelop an existing investment property for continued future use as investment property, the property remains an investment property, which is measured based on a fair value model, and is not reclassified as property, plant and equipment during the redevelopment.

A property interest under an operating lease is classified and accounted for as an investment property on a property-by-property basis when the stapled entity holds it to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both. Any such property interest under an operating lease classified as an investment property is carried at fair value.

Fair value

When assessing fair value, the Directors of the Responsible Entity will consider the discounted cash flows of the investment property based on reliable estimates of future cash flows; other contracts and recent prices for similar properties; and capitalised income projections based on the property's net market income.

In addition, the Responsible Entity is required to ensure that independent valuations are performed at regular intervals appropriate to the nature of the investment property. These valuations are considered by the Directors of the Responsible Entity when determining fair value.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

(q) INVESTMENT PROPERTIES (CONTINUED)

Subsequent costs

The stapled entity recognises in the carrying amount of an investment property the cost of replacing part of that investment property when that cost is incurred if it is probable that the future economic benefits embodied within the item will flow to the stapled entity and the cost can be measured reliably. All other costs are recognised in the Income Statement as an expense as incurred.

Disposal of revalued assets

The gain or loss on disposal of revalued assets is calculated as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset at the time of disposal and the net proceeds on disposal and is included in the Income Statement in the year of disposal.

(r) NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Certain new or amended accounting standards have been published that are not mandatory for this reporting period. The impact of these new or amended standards (to the extent relevant to the stapled entity) and interpretations are set out below.

Revised AASB 101 "Presentation of Financial Statements" ("AASB 101") introduces as a financial statement (formerly "primary" statement) the "Statement of Comprehensive Income". The revised standard does not change the recognition, measurement or disclosure of transactions and events that are required by other AASBs. The revised AASB 101 will become mandatory for the stapled entity's 30 June 2010 Financial Report.

Revised AASB 3 "Business Combinations" ("AASB 3") changes the application of acquisition accounting for business combinations and the accounting for non-controlling (minority) interests. Key changes include: the immediate expensing of all transaction costs; measurement of

contingent consideration at acquisition date with subsequent changes through the income statement; measurement of non-controlling (minority) interests at full fair value or the proportionate share of the fair value of the underlying net assets; guidance on issues such as reacquired rights and vendor indemnities; and the inclusion of combinations by contract alone and those involving mutual entities. The revised standard becomes mandatory for the stapled entity's 30 June 2010 Financial Report.

Revised AASB 127 "Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements" ("AASB 127") changes the accounting for investments in subsidiaries. Key changes include: the remeasurement to fair value of any previous/retained investment when control is obtained/lost, with any resulting gain or loss being recognised in the Income Statement; and the treatment of increases in ownership interest after control is obtained as transactions with equity holders in their capacity as equity holders. The revised standard will become mandatory for the stapled entity's 30 June 2010 Financial Report.

AASB 2008-5 and AASB 2008-6 "Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards arising from the Annual Improvement Projects: The improvement project" is an annual project that provides a mechanism for making non urgent, but necessary, amendments to IFRSs. These standards will become mandatory for the Trust's 30 June 2010 Financial Report.

These recently issued or amended standards are not expected to have a significant impact on the amounts recognised or disclosures made in these Financial Statements when restated for the application of these new or amended accounting standards.

(s) COMPARATIVES

No comparatives have been amended from those reported in the previous financial year except for those reclassified to conform with current year's presentation.

2 ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS

Estimates and judgements are continually evaluated and are based on historical experience as adjusted for current market conditions and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

The stapled entity makes estimates and assumptions concerning the future. The resulting accounting estimates will seldom equal the related actual results. The estimates and assumptions that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amount of assets and liabilities within the next financial year are discussed below.

(a) KEY SOURCES OF ESTIMATION UNCERTAINTY

Estimates of fair value of investment property

The best evidence of fair value is current prices in an active market for similar investment properties. Where such information is not available, the Responsible Entity determines the property's value within a range of reasonable fair value estimates. In making its judgement, the Responsible Entity considers information from a variety of sources including:

- (i) current prices in an active market for properties of different nature, condition or location (or subject to different leases or other contracts), adjusted to reflect those differences;
- (ii) recent prices of similar properties in less active markets, with adjustments to reflect any changes in economic conditions since the date of the transactions that occurred at those prices;

- (iii) discounted cash flow projections based on reliable estimates of future cash flows, derived from the term of any existing lease and other contracts, and (where possible) from external evidence such as current market rents for similar properties in the same location and condition, and using discount rates that reflect current market assessments of the uncertainty in the amount and timing of cash flows; and
- (iv) capitalised income projections based upon a property's estimated net market income, which is assumed to be a level annuity in perpetuity, and a capitalisation rate derived from analysis of market evidence. Reversions associated with short term leasing risks/costs, incentives and capital expenditure may be deducted from the capitalised net income figure.

Assumptions underlying the Responsible Entity's estimates of fair value of investment properties

In determining the fair value, the capitalisation of net market income method and discounting future cash flows to their present value have been used. These approaches require assumptions and judgement in relation to the future receipt of contractual rentals, expected future market rentals, void periods, maintenance requirements, property capitalisation rate or estimated yield and make reference to market evidence of transaction prices for similar properties. If such prices are not available then the fair value of investment properties is determined using assumptions that are mainly based on market conditions existing at each balance date.

These valuations are regularly compared to market yield data, and actual transactions by the stapled entity and those reported by the market.

The expected future market rentals are determined on the basis of current market rentals for similar properties in the same location and condition.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

2 ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS (CONTINUED)

(a) KEY SOURCES OF ESTIMATION UNCERTAINTY (CONTINUED)

Estimates of performance fee expense

A performance fee is payable to the Responsible Entity if certain out performance is achieved by the stapled entity. The fee is calculated as 20.5% of the performance of the stapled entity above the benchmark (10 year bond yield plus 3.0% per annum). The performance fee is calculated for each six month period and is capped at 0.46125% p.a. on the closing gross asset value of the stapled entity. The stapled entity has not provided for a performance fee as at 30 June 2009. Refer Note 21 for further detail.

The Trust determines the value of the performance fee to be provided based on the current property valuation and estimates regarding the likely sales proceeds on disposal of the stapled entity's property.

The best evidence of the likely sales proceeds is the fair value of the property. Current prices in an active market for similar investment properties, leases and other contracts are the best indicator of fair value. Where such information is not available, the stapled entity determines the property's fair value within a range of reasonable fair value estimates. In making its judgement, the stapled entity considers information from a variety of sources as described in Note 2(a)(i)–(iv) above.

An estimate of the performance fee expense is then made factoring in the current fair value of the stapled entity's property interest and expectations regarding future property market volatility.

Assumptions underlying the Responsible Entity's estimates of performance fee expense

The performance fee, if any, is recognised in the Income Statement on an accruals basis. The performance fee is calculated in accordance with the Constitution. This involves the assumptions set out below.

The discounted cash flow approach applied for determining the fair value of the property usually includes assumptions in relation to current and recent investment property prices. If such prices are not available, then the fair value of investment properties is determined using assumptions that are mainly based on market conditions existing at each balance date.

The principal assumptions underlying the Responsible Entity's estimation of fair value are those related to the receipt of contractual rentals, expected future market rentals, void periods, maintenance requirements, and appropriate discount rates. These valuations are regularly compared to actual market yield data, and actual transactions by the stapled entity and those reported by the market.

The expected future market rentals are determined on the basis of current market rentals for similar properties in the same location and condition.

It is assumed payment of the performance fee will occur in accordance with the Constitution and the projected life of the stapled entity.

The stapled entity has then applied an appropriate discount rate to reflect the projected life of the fund.

Assumptions underlying the Responsible Entity's estimates of fair value of derivatives

The fair value of derivatives is determined using a generally accepted pricing model based on a discounted cash flow analysis using assumptions supported by observable market rates. The determination of fair value of derivatives is described further in Note 20.

3 SEGMENT REPORTING

The stapled entity and the Trust operate solely in the business of investment management in Australia.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	Stapled 2009 \$	Consolidated 2008 \$	Trust 2009 \$	2008 \$
4 AUDITORS' REMUNERATION				
AUDIT SERVICES TO KPMG AUSTRALIA				
Audit and review of the Financial Reports	112,500	66,000	76,500	66,000
Other audit services	–	4,000	–	4,000
Compliance audit services	30,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
	142,500	85,000	91,500	85,000
OTHER SERVICES TO KPMG AUSTRALIA				
Taxation compliance services	43,593	47,100	40,093	47,100
	43,593	47,100	40,093	47,100
Total remuneration	186,093	132,100	131,593	132,100

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
5 CURRENT ASSETS – CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS				
Cash at bank and on hand	3,855	7,915	3,448	4,768
The weighted average interest rate for cash at bank and on hand as at 30 June 2009 was 4.74% p.a. (30 June 2008: 6.78% p.a.)				
6 CURRENT ASSETS – TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES				
Straight-line of rental income	43	13	–	–
Other receivables	90	1,153	6	1,060
	133	1,166	6	1,060

7 CURRENT ASSETS – LOAN TO RELATED ENTITY

Loan to SHT2	–	–	32,032	–
--------------	---	---	--------	---

On 18 August 2008, the Trust entered into a loan agreement with SHT2 to loan to SHT2 \$32,032,000 (2008: \$Nil) to repay the existing debt owing to Stockland Trust by SHT2 relating to the original purchase of the Parramatta property by SHT2. Interest is payable to the Trust annually in arrears. The weighted average interest rate on the loan for the period to 30 June 2009 was 6.50% p.a.

The loan is due to mature on 27 June 2010 and will be extended subject to the Trust being successful in refinancing its loan facility.

8 CURRENT ASSETS – OTHER ASSETS

Rental income support	19	34	–	34
Lease incentives	317	–	–	–
Other assets	241	225	48	95
	577	259	48	129

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

9 NON-CURRENT ASSETS – INVESTMENT PROPERTIES

Description	Acquisition date	Original purchase price \$'000	Cost including additions \$'000	Independent Valuation date	Independent Valuation \$'000	Capitalisation rate		Weighted average lease term ⁵		Stapled Book value 2009 \$'000	Consolidated Book value 2008 \$'000
						30 Jun 09 %	30 Jun 08 %	2009 Years	2008 Years		
40 Cameron Avenue, Belconnen, ACT ^{1,3}	June 2007	34,912	35,040	June 2009	28,250	8.25	7.50	2.68	3.65	28,250	32,500
541 St Kilda Road, Melbourne, VIC ³	June 2007	30,162	30,215	June 2009	25,400	9.00	7.75	2.93	3.39	25,400	29,800
222 Russell Street, Melbourne, VIC ³	June 2007	16,879	16,883	June 2009	14,100	9.00	7.50	1.10	2.10	14,100	16,800
181 Great Eastern Highway, Belmont, WA ^{4,6}	June 2007	13,756	13,775	June 2009	16,300	10.00	7.67	2.17	3.17	16,300	18,750
75 George Street, Parramatta, NSW ^{2,4}	June 2007	39,328	39,504	June 2009	34,000	8.50	8.00	3.60	4.53	34,000	37,500
Total Investment properties (including amounts classified in Trade and other receivables and Other assets)										118,050	135,350
Less amounts classified as:											
– Trade and other receivables										(970)	(613)
– Other assets										(2,655)	(1,444)
Total Investment properties										114,425	133,293

¹ The stapled/consolidated entity has a 50% interest as a tenant in common for the Belconnen property.

² In June 2007, a put and call option was issued to the Trust by SHT2 over the property and was to be exercised by July 2008. The effect of the put and call option together with the concurrent lease resulted in the property being recognised as an asset of the Trust from 27 June 2007. The put and call option was extended to 19 August 2008 following the agreement of both parties. Instead of exercising the put and call option, an alternative transaction was entered into on 18 August 2008 whereby the units of SHT2 were stapled to the units in the Trust. On 18 August 2008, the date of the Stapling Arrangement, the put and call option lapsed and the property for accounting purposes was transferred to SHT2 from the Trust with a market value of \$37,500,000. The legal title to the property however, has always remained with SHT2. Therefore subsequent to the Stapling Arrangement, the Parramatta property remains an asset of the stapled entity. Refer to Note 21.

³ These properties are leasehold properties.

⁴ These properties are freehold properties.

⁵ Weighted average lease term is stated as years by income.

⁶ In March 2009, the Trust was issued a notice from the Department of Environment and Conservation (WA) ("DEC") classifying the land at the Belmont property as an affected site – 'Contaminated – Remediation Required'. Nearby land has been identified as containing groundwater contamination which has allegedly migrated to the Belmont property. Independent consultants have advised that the Belmont property does not currently warrant the classification provided by DEC. A formal appeal against the notice was lodged with DEC on 29 July 2009. The outcome of the appeal is expected to be known in early November 2009. Under the Contaminated Sites Act 2003 (WA), the person who has caused the contamination on the Belmont property is responsible for the remediation of that property. This has been identified by DEC as being the owner of the nearby property. The independent valuation of the Belmont property as at 30 June 2009 does not take into account the contamination notice issued by DEC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

9 NON-CURRENT ASSETS – INVESTMENT PROPERTIES (CONTINUED)

Description	Acquisition date	Original purchase price \$'000	Cost including additions \$'000	Independent Valuation date	Independent Valuation \$'000	Capitalisation rate		Weighted average lease term ³		Trust	
						30 Jun 09	30 Jun 08	2009	2008	Book value	Book value
						%	%	Years	Years	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
75 George Street, Parramatta, NSW ^{1,2}	June 2007	39,328	39,531	June 2008	37,500	–	8.00	–	4.53	–	37,500
Total Investment properties (including amounts classified in Trade and other receivables and Other assets)										–	37,500
Less amounts classified as:											
– Trade and other receivables										–	(304)
– Other assets										–	(34)
Total Investment properties										–	37,162

¹ In June 2007, a put and call option was issued to the Trust by SHT2 over the property and was to be exercised by July 2008. The effect of the put and call option together with the concurrent lease resulted in the property being recognised as an asset of the Trust from 27 June 2007. The put and call option was extended to 19 August 2008 following the agreement of both parties. Instead of exercising the put and call option, an alternative transaction was entered into on 18 August 2008 whereby the units of SHT2 were stapled to the units in the Trust. On 18 August 2008, the date of the Stapling Arrangement, the put and call option lapsed and the property for accounting purposes was transferred to SHT2 from the Trust with a market value of \$37,500,000. The legal title to the property however, has always remained with SHT2. Therefore subsequent to the Stapling Arrangement, the Parramatta property remains an asset of the stapled entity. Refer to Note 21.

² This property is a freehold property.

³ Weighted average lease term is stated as years by income.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
9 NON-CURRENT ASSETS – INVESTMENT PROPERTIES (CONTINUED)				
RECONCILIATION – INVESTMENT PROPERTIES				
<i>Direct investments and controlled entities</i>				
Carrying amount at the beginning of the financial year	133,293	128,165	37,162	37,786
Disposals ¹	–	–	(37,162) ²	–
Net (loss)/gain on fair value adjustments of investment properties	(17,748)	2,685	–	(2,308)
Unwinding of discount on rental guarantee	102	95	–	–
Expenditure capitalised	48	470	–	203
Transfer to other assets	(1,270)	(100)	–	–
Expiration of rental income support	–	1,978	–	1,481
Carrying amount at the end of the financial year	114,425	133,293	–	37,162
¹ In June 2007, a put and call option was issued to the Trust by SHT2 over the Parramatta property and was to be exercised by July 2008. The effect of the put and call option together with the concurrent lease resulted in the property being recognised as an asset of the Trust from 27 June 2007. The put and call option was extended to 19 August 2008 following the agreement of both parties. Instead of exercising the put and call option, an alternative transaction was entered into on 18 August 2008 whereby the units of SHT2 were stapled to the units in the Trust. On 18 August 2008, the date of the Stapling Arrangement, the put and call option lapsed and the property for accounting purposes was transferred to SHT2 from the Trust with a market value of \$37,500,000. The legal title to the property however, has always remained with SHT2. Therefore subsequent to the Stapling Arrangement, the Parramatta property remains an asset of the stapled entity. Refer to Note 21.				
² Disposal was at market value of \$37,500,000 which comprised of \$338,000 of rental income support and straight-lining of rent classified in Trade and Other Receivables and Other Assets.				
10 NON-CURRENT ASSETS – TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES				
Straight-line of rental income	927	600	–	304
11 NON-CURRENT ASSETS – OTHER ASSETS				
Fair value of hedging instrument	–	3,325	–	3,325
Rental income support	1,542	1,410	–	–
Lease incentive	777	–	–	–
	2,319	4,735	–	3,325
12 CURRENT LIABILITIES – TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES				
Trade payables and accruals	2,138	3,217	1,151	2,764
Goods and services ("GST") payable	86	83	–	30
Distribution payable	1,081	1,193	600	1,193
Interest payable on loan facility	17	37	17	37
	3,322	4,530	1,768	4,024

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
13 CURRENT AND NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES – INTEREST-BEARING LOANS AND BORROWINGS				
Current liabilities – Interest-bearing loans and borrowings				
Loan facility	83,353	–	83,353	–
Less: attributable transaction costs	(128)	–	(128)	–
Balance Sheet carrying amount at amortised cost	83,225	–	83,225	–
Non-current liabilities – Interest-bearing loans and borrowings				
Loan facility	–	54,193	–	54,193
Less: attributable transaction costs	–	(306)	–	(306)
Balance Sheet carrying amount at amortised cost	–	53,887	–	53,887

LOAN FACILITY

The Trust has an \$89,010,000 (2008: \$89,010,000) loan facility agreement, comprising a property facility and capital expenditure facility, with Australia and New Zealand Bank ("ANZ"). As at 30 June 2009, \$83,353,000 has been drawn on the property facility (2008: \$54,193,000). The weighted average interest rate on the property facility for the year ended 30 June 2009 was 6.23% p.a. (2008: 8.47% p.a.). The property facility has been hedged through an interest rate swap contract (refer to paragraphs below).

Taking into account the interest rate swap in place, the weighted average interest rate on the property facility for the year ended 30 June 2009 is 6.24% p.a. (2008: 6.38% p.a.).

The loan facility to the Trust is secured by a limited registered first mortgage over the properties and a fixed and floating charge over all assets of the stapled entity.

The original maturity date of the loan facility is 27 June 2010 and may be extended on an ongoing basis upon agreement between both the Trust and ANZ. Management are currently in ongoing discussions with ANZ with regards to the refinancing of the loan facility. The terms of the refinanced loan facility are likely to be less favourable than those under the current facility. The Directors consider it is likely the loan facility will be refinanced. The Directors believe that while this is a material uncertainty, it does not cast doubt on the Trust's ability to continue as a going concern. Further information in relation to this can be found in Note 1(b) and 27 to the Financial Report.

As a result of the stapled entity's declining property values which is consistent with general property value movements across the economy, as at 30 June 2009, the Trust was not in compliance with the LVR covenant under the loan facility agreement. On 30 June 2009, the Directors received written confirmation from ANZ that it will waive its rights to take any action against the Trust in relation to the Trust not being in compliance with the LVR covenant. Consequently, ANZ will not be exercising its right to call for immediate repayment of the debt as at 30 June 2009. Further information in relation to this can be found in Note 1(b) and 27 to the Financial Report.

INTEREST RATE SWAP CONTRACT

The Responsible Entity, on behalf of the stapled entity, has entered into an interest rate swap contract with Stockland Trust Management Limited ("STML") to manage cash flow risks associated with the interest rates on the loan facility provided by ANZ which is floating. The interest rate swap allows the stapled entity to swap the floating rate borrowing into a fixed rate borrowing.

The interest rate swap on the property facility will incur a fixed cost equal to 6.35% p.a. (2008: 6.35% p.a.) payable quarterly in arrears and will terminate on the earlier of 5.0 years from 30 June 2009 (2008: 6.0 years) or when the underlying debt becomes due and payable. The interest rate swap has been tested for hedge effectiveness as at 30 June 2009 and as at that date, is deemed to be an effective cash flow hedge. The swap in place covers 104% of the loan facility outstanding and accordingly, the ineffective portion of the cash flow hedge of \$33,000 loss (2008: \$Nil) has been taken to the stapled entity's Income Statement.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
14 CURRENT LIABILITIES – OTHER LIABILITIES				
Deferred consideration – Opening	32,032	31,259	32,032	31,259
Unwind of discount on deferred consideration	–	773	–	773
Settlement of deferred consideration	(32,032)	–	(32,032)	–
Deferred consideration – Closing	–	32,032	–	32,032
Other liabilities	1,212	1,426	12	270
	1,212	33,458	12	32,302

During the financial year ended 30 June 2007, the consolidated entity entered into a deed of agreement with SHT2 to acquire the Parramatta property. The deferred consideration relates to an amount that was paid to SHT2 on 18 August 2008 in connection with the deed of agreement (refer to Note 21).

15 NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES – OTHER LIABILITIES

Fair value of hedging instrument	964	–	964	–
----------------------------------	-----	---	-----	---

16 UNITS ON ISSUE

	Stapled and Trust 2009 No. of Stapled Units	Consolidated and Trust 2008 No. of units	Stapled and Trust 2009 \$'000	Consolidated and Trust 2008 \$'000
Units on issue	60,020,000	60,020,000	36,884	49,009

Date	Details	No. of units	Issue price	\$'000
Movement in units				
1 July 2007	Opening balance – consolidated	60,020,000	–	53,794
30 September 2007	Distribution paid from contributed equity	–	–	(1,193)
31 December 2007	Distribution paid from contributed equity	–	–	(1,193)
31 March 2008	Distribution paid from contributed equity	–	–	(1,193)
30 June 2008	Distribution paid from contributed equity	–	–	(1,193)
30 June 2008	Deferred establishment costs	–	–	(13)
30 June 2008	Balance – consolidated	60,020,000	–	49,009
18 August 2008	Return of capital to Unitholders for Stapled Units in SHT2 ¹	–	–	(8,008)
30 September 2008	Distribution paid from contributed equity	–	–	(1,200)
31 December 2008	Distribution paid from contributed equity	–	–	(1,201)
31 March 2009	Distribution paid from contributed equity	–	–	(1,080)
30 June 2009	Distribution paid from contributed equity	–	–	(600) ²
30 June 2009	Deferred establishment costs	–	–	(36)
30 June 2009	Closing balance – stapled entity	60,020,000		36,884

¹ Refer to Note 18 for further detail on the capital distribution.

² In addition to this distribution, Stapled Unitholders are also entitled to the distribution from SHT2. Refer to Note 18 for further detail.

Rights and restrictions over units

Each Stapled Unit ranks equally with all other Stapled Units for the purpose of distributions and on termination of the Trust and SHT2.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
17 RESERVES				
Balance at the beginning of the financial year	3,325	1,615	3,325	1,615
Effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges during the financial year	(4,256)	1,710	(4,256)	1,710
Balance at the end of the financial year	(931)	3,325	(931)	3,325

18 DISTRIBUTIONS TO UNITHOLDERS

Distributions to Stapled Unitholders recognised in the financial year by the stapled entity are:

2009	Distribution per stapled unit	Total amount \$'000	Date of payment	Tax deferred
30 September 2008	2.0000¢	1,200¹	31 October 2008	100%
31 December 2008	2.0000¢	1,201¹	27 February 2009	100%
31 March 2009	1.8000¢	1,080¹	30 April 2009	100%
30 June 2009	1.0000¢	600^{1,2}	28 August 2009 ⁴	100%
		4,081³		

¹ This distribution was/is a distribution from contributed equity.² In addition to this distribution, Stapled Unitholders are also entitled to the distribution from SHT2 of \$481,000. Refer to the separate accounts of SHT2 for the year ended 30 June 2009 for further detail.³ In total, distributions to Stapled Unitholders recognised in the financial year by the stapled entity including distributions made by SHT2 to Stapled Unitholders were \$4,562,000.⁴ Proposed payment date.

Distributions to Unitholders recognised in the previous financial year by the consolidated entity are:

2008	Distribution per unit	Total amount \$'000	Date of payment	Tax deferred
30 September 2007	1.9875¢	1,193 ⁵	31 October 2007	100%
31 December 2007	1.9875¢	1,193 ⁵	29 February 2008	100%
31 March 2008	1.9875¢	1,193 ⁵	28 April 2008	100%
30 June 2008	1.9875¢	1,193 ⁵	29 August 2008	100%
		4,772		

⁵ This distribution was a distribution from contributed equity.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
19 NOTES TO THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT				
Reconciliation of (loss)/profit from operating activities to net cash inflow from/(utilised in) operating activities				
(Loss)/profit from operating activities	(13,539)	6,182	(9,578)	(1,098)
Amortisation of borrowing costs	208	32	208	32
Amortisation of incentives	277	–	–	–
Unwinding of discount on deferred consideration	–	773	–	773
Unwinding of discount on rental guarantee	(102)	(95)	–	–
Net loss/(gain) from fair value adjustment of investment properties	17,748	(2,685)	–	2,308
Impairment of units in controlled entities	–	–	12,000	–
Unrealised loss on cash flow hedge	33	–	33	–
Distributions from controlled entities	–	–	(6,474)	(4,965)
Net cash inflow from/(utilised in) operating activities before change in assets and liabilities	4,625	4,207	(3,811)	(2,950)
Decrease in Trade and other receivables and Other assets	407	398	1,435	414
(Decrease)/increase in Trade and other payables and Other liabilities	(1,280)	2,562	(1,934)	1,474
Net cash inflow from/(utilised in) operating activities	3,752	7,167	(4,310)	(1,062)

20 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

(a) FINANCIAL RISK AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

The stapled entity's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks: credit risk, liquidity risk and interest rate risk. The stapled entity's overall financial risk management focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimise potential adverse effects on the stapled entity's financial performance. The stapled entity uses derivative financial instruments to hedge exposure to fluctuations in interest rates.

Financial risk and capital management is carried out by a central treasury department of the Responsible Entity under policies approved by the Board of the Responsible Entity. The Board provides written principles of overall risk management, as well as written policies covering specific areas such as managing capital, mitigating interest rate and credit risks, use of derivative financial instruments and investing excess liquidity.

Capital management

The Responsible Entity's objective when managing capital is to safeguard the ability to continue as a going concern, whilst providing returns for Unitholders and benefits for other stakeholders and to maintain a capital structure to minimise the cost of capital.

The Responsible Entity can alter the capital structure of the stapled entity by adjusting the amount of distributions paid to Unitholders, selling assets to reduce debt, and adjusting the timing of capital expenditure. In this context, the stapled entity considers capital to include interest-bearing loans and borrowings and Unitholders' funds.

Management monitor the capital structure of the stapled entity through the loan-to-value ratio. The ratio is calculated as the amount of the stapled entity's loan facility drawn divided by the latest valuation of the stapled entity's properties. The LVR as at 30 June 2009 is 71% (2008: 62%) which is not in compliance with the LVR covenant requirement of 67%. On 30 June 2009, the Directors received written confirmation from ANZ that it will waive its rights to take any action against the Trust in relation to the Trust not being in compliance with the LVR covenant. Refer to Note 1(b), 13, and 27 for further detail on the Trust not being in compliance with the LVR covenant under the loan facility agreement.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

20 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

(a) FINANCIAL RISK AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk that a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument will default on their contractual obligations resulting in a financial loss to the stapled entity.

The stapled entity has no significant concentrations of credit risk and has policies to review the aggregate exposure of tenancies across its portfolio. The stapled entity also has policies to ensure that leases are made to customers with an appropriate credit history.

Derivative counterparties are limited to entities with high credit ratings set down by Standard and Poors.

As at 30 June 2009 and 30 June 2008, there were no significant financial assets that were past due. Additionally, there were no significant financial assets that would otherwise be past due whose terms have been renegotiated.

The carrying amount of financial assets included in the Balance Sheet represents the stapled entity's and Trust's maximum exposure to credit risk in relation to these assets. Refer to Note 5, 6 and 7 for a breakdown of these financial assets.

Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value of financial instruments or cash flows associated with instruments will fluctuate due to changes in market interest rates.

The income and the associated operating cash flows of the stapled entity's financial assets are substantially independent of changes in market interest rates.

The Responsible Entity, on behalf of the stapled entity, manages interest rate risk by using floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps. Such interest rate swaps have the economic effect of converting borrowings from floating rates to fixed rates. The debt fixed/hedged percentage as at 30 June 2009 was 104% (2008: 100%).

Under the interest rate swaps, the Responsible Entity agrees with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, generally quarterly, the difference between fixed contract rates and floating rate interest amounts calculated by reference to the agreed notional principal amounts. Refer to Note 20(b) for further details about the interest rate swap contracts.

Sensitivity analysis

The following sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the stapled entity's and Trust's Income Statement and equity if market interest rates at balance date had been 25 basis points higher/lower (2008: 25 basis points) with all other variables held constant.

An increase of 25 basis points (2008: 25 basis points) in market interest rate would result in an increase in the stapled entity's and Trust's Income Statement of \$31,000 (2008: \$Nil) and an increase in the stapled entity's and Trust's equity of \$852,000 (2008: \$571,000). A decrease of 25 basis points (2008: 25 basis points) in market interest rate would result in a decrease in the stapled entity's and Trust's Income Statement of \$31,000 (2008: \$Nil) and a decrease in the stapled entity's and Trust's equity of \$863,000 (2008: \$580,000).

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the stapled entity or the Trust will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. Prudent liquidity risk management implies maintaining sufficient cash and cash equivalents, the availability of funding through an adequate amount of committed credit facilities and the ability to close out market positions. The stapled entity and the Trust aims at maintaining flexibility in funding by keeping sufficient committed credit lines available. Management prepares and monitors rolling forecasts of liquidity requirements on the basis of expected cash flow.

The stapled entity manages liquidity risk through monitoring the maturity of its debt portfolio. As at 30 June 2009, the current weighted average debt maturity is 1.0 year (2008: 2.0 years). Refer to Note 13 for details of the loan facility.

The table on the next page reflects all contractual maturities of financial liabilities including principal and estimated interest cash flows calculated based on conditions existing at balance date. The amounts presented represent the future undiscounted cash flows and may not equate to carrying amounts of financial liabilities in the Balance Sheet.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

20 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

(a) FINANCIAL RISK AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

Liquidity risk (continued)

Contractual maturity of financial liabilities including derivatives and estimated interest

	2009					2008				
	Contractual cash flows \$'000	1 year or less \$'000	1-3 years \$'000	3-5 years \$'000	5+ years \$'000	Contractual cash flows \$'000	1 year or less \$'000	1-3 years \$'000	3-5 years \$'000	5+ years \$'000
Stapled/Consolidated										
Trade and other payables	(1,966)	(1,966)	–	–	–	(3,328)	(3,328)	–	–	–
Distribution payable	(1,081)	(1,081)	–	–	–	(1,193)	(1,193)	–	–	–
Deferred consideration	–	–	–	–	–	(32,032)	(32,032)	–	–	–
Loan facility ¹	(86,219)	(86,219)	–	–	–	(63,006)	(4,457)	(58,549)	–	–
Interest rate swap	(691)	(1,994)	(244)	1,547	–	4,062	927	1,581	1,114	440
	(89,957)	(91,260)	(244)	1,547	–	(95,497)	(40,083)	(56,968)	1,114	440
Trust										
Trade and other payables	(1,151)	(1,151)	–	–	–	(2,799)	(2,799)	–	–	–
Distribution payable	(600)	(600)	–	–	–	(1,193)	(1,193)	–	–	–
Deferred consideration	–	–	–	–	–	(32,032)	(32,032)	–	–	–
Loan facility ¹	(86,219)	(86,219)	–	–	–	(63,006)	(4,457)	(58,549)	–	–
Interest rate swap	(691)	(1,994)	(244)	1,547	–	4,062	927	1,581	1,114	440
	(88,661)	(89,964)	(244)	1,547	–	(94,968)	(39,554)	(56,968)	1,114	440

¹ The loan facility is due to mature on 27 June 2010. Refer to Note 13 for details.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

20 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

(b) DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS USED BY THE STAPLED ENTITY

The stapled entity is party to derivative financial instruments in the normal course of business in order to hedge exposure to fluctuations in interest rates in accordance with the stapled entity's financial risk management policies as mentioned above.

Interest rate swap contract

The Responsible Entity, on behalf of the stapled entity, has entered into an interest rate swap contract with Stockland Trust Management Limited to manage cash flow risks associated with the interest rates on borrowings that are floating. The interest rate swap allows the stapled entity to swap the floating rate borrowing into a fixed rate.

The interest rate swap provides a fixed rate of 6.35% p.a. on the funds drawn against the property facility for the duration of the facility.

The swap covers 104% of the loan principal outstanding and is timed to expire as the loan repayment falls due. The fixed interest rate is 6.35% p.a. and the variable rate is the 90 day bank bill rate which at the last reset date to 30 June 2009 was 3.30% p.a. plus a margin of 0.60% p.a.

As at 30 June 2009, the notional principal amounts and period of expiry of the interest rate swap contract is as follows:

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000
1-5 years	86,353	–
Over 5 years	–	54,193

The contract requires settlement of net interest receivable or payable quarterly. The settlement dates coincide with the dates on which interest is payable on the underlying debt.

This swap has been designated as an effective cash flow hedge in accordance with AASB 139 "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement" ("AASB 139") and has been tested for effectiveness. As at 30 June 2009, the swap is considered to be effective and accordingly the effective portion of the change in the fair value of the interest rate swap contract is recognised in Unitholders' funds. Refer accounting policy at Note 1(j).

At balance date, the swap contract had a fair value of \$964,000 (2008: \$3,325,000 asset) included in Non-current liabilities – Other liabilities on the Balance Sheet (2008: Non-current assets – Other assets).

The stapled entity does not hold derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

(c) FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND FINANCIAL LIABILITIES

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, loan to related entity, trade and other payables, the loan facility and interest rate swap as disclosed in the Balance Sheet reflect the fair value of these financial assets and liabilities as at 30 June 2009.

The fair value of the interest rate swap has been determined in accordance with generally accepted pricing models by discounting the expected future cash flows at prevailing market interest rates.

The interest rate swap has been accounted for on the Balance Sheet at fair value. The interest rate swap has been deemed to be an effective hedge. Accordingly, the effective portion of the change in the fair value of the interest rate swap contract is recognised in Unitholders' funds.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

21 RELATED PARTIES

Stockland Capital Partners Limited ("SCPL") is the Responsible Entity of the stapled entity. The Key Management Personnel of the stapled entity has been defined as the Responsible Entity. The Responsible Entity does not hold any stapled units in the stapled entity and the Trust.

The relevant interests of each Director of the Responsible Entity holding stapled units in the stapled entity at the date of this report is as follows:

Directors	Number of Stapled Units
Mr David Kent	85,000
Mr Matthew Quinn	10,000
Mr Peter Scott	20,000

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
Responsible Entity fees and other transactions				
<i>Trust establishment fee</i>				
As stated in the PDS, the Responsible Entity has agreed for the Trust to defer payment of part of the Trust establishment fee amounting to \$550,000 and has been included in Current liabilities – Trade and other payables as at 30 June 2009 of \$391,910 (2008: \$356,151)	–	–	–	–
Responsible Entity fee				
The Responsible Entity charged responsible entity fees to the stapled entity calculated at 0.45% p.a. of the gross value of the assets of the stapled entity. Responsible Entity fees reported by the stapled entity include the Responsible Entity fees of SHT2 which are paid by and reported separately in SHT2.	627	651	484	651
For the year ended 30 June 2009, the Responsible Entity has agreed for the stapled entity and Trust to defer payment of part of the Responsible Entity fees amounting to \$282,140 (2008: \$300,261) and \$217,709 (2008: \$300,261) respectively.				
Total deferred and accrued Responsible Entity fees by the stapled entity and the Trust included in Current liabilities – Trade and other payables as at 30 June 2009 is \$667,606 (2008: \$303,356) and \$581,254 (2008: \$303,356) respectively.				
Performance fee				
The Responsible Entity is entitled to a performance fee calculated as 20.5% of the performance of the stapled entity above the benchmark (10 year bond yield plus 3.0% per annum). The performance fee is calculated for each six month half year and is capped at 0.46125% p.a. on the closing gross asset value of the stapled entity as disclosed on the balance sheet at each reporting date or realised on a sale of the property or properties during the year.				
The stapled entity has not provided for a performance fee as at 30 June 2009.	–	–	–	–
Total Responsible Entity fees and other transactions recognised in the Income Statements	627	651	484	651

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

21 RELATED PARTIES (CONTINUED)

OTHER RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Limited Liquidity Facility ("LLF")

ANZ has agreed to acquire up to 1,000,000 Stapled Units in the stapled entity each quarter from investors seeking to transfer their Stapled Units. The price for each Stapled Unit will be the most recent Net Tangible Asset ("NTA") per unit less a 2.5% discount, any transfer costs and a \$110 processing fee per application. The facility commenced operation in the quarter beginning 1 July 2008. Stockland Trust Management Limited ("STML"), as Responsible Entity for Stockland Trust, has placed a standing order to acquire the Stapled Units that ANZ acquires under the LLF. STML or ANZ may terminate the LLF at any time.

During the financial year, STML, as Responsible Entity of Stockland Trust, acquired 2,490,000 Stapled Units (2008: nil) in the stapled entity via the LLF.

Units held by Stockland Trust

As at 30 June 2009, Stockland Trust Management Limited, as Responsible Entity for Stockland Trust, a related party of the Responsible Entity, holds 8,892,000 Stapled Units in the stapled entity (2008: 6,402,000 units in the consolidated entity and nil Stapled Units in the stapled entity).

Property management fee

Stockland Property Management Pty Limited has been appointed as the property manager to undertake the ongoing property management and leasing of the properties. A fee of \$112,000 (2008: \$398,000) was paid/payable to the property manager during the financial year. Total accrued property management fees by the stapled entity included in Current liabilities – Trade and other payables as at 30 June 2009 is \$11,000 (2008: \$119,000).

Stockland Trust Management Limited

Stockland Trust Management Limited has provided an interest rate swap on the property loan facility to the Trust. The interest rate swap will incur a fixed cost equal to 6.35% p.a. payable quarterly in arrears and will terminate on the earlier of 5.0 years from 30 June 2009 or when the underlying debt becomes due and payable (refer to Note 13 and 20 (b)).

Stockland Holding Trust No. 2

Reimbursement of fit-out contribution

During the financial year ended 30 June 2007, SHT2 agreed to reimburse the Trust for fit-out contributions that are paid to a tenant of the Parramatta property. In July 2008, the Trust (then the beneficial owner of the Parramatta property) received \$999,293 from SHT2.

Deferred consideration

During the financial year, the Trust paid to SHT2 \$32,032,000, being the balance of the deferred consideration in connection with the deed of arrangement (refer to the paragraphs below regarding the acquisition of the Parramatta property).

The Trust has paid interest of \$332,000 in the year ended 30 June 2009 to SHT2 on the balance of the deferred consideration (2008: \$1,314,000).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

21 RELATED PARTIES (CONTINUED)

OTHER RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (CONTINUED)

Stockland Holding Trust No. 2 (continued)

Interest-bearing loan from SDOT No. 3

As at 30 June 2009, SHT2 has a loan payable to the Trust of \$32,032,000 (30 June 2008: \$Nil). Interest is payable to the Trust annually in arrears. The weighted average interest rate on the loan for the period to 30 June 2009 was 6.50% p.a. (2008: 0% p.a.). During the year ended 30 June 2009, \$1,809,000 (2008: \$Nil) was received from SHT2.

The loan is due to mature on 27 June 2010 and may be extended on an ongoing basis upon agreement between both the Trust and SHT2. Refer to Note 7.

Acquisition of the Parramatta property

During the financial year ended 30 June 2007, the consolidated entity entered into a deed of agreement with SHT2 (then a controlled entity of Stockland Trust) to acquire the Parramatta property. The agreement required the parties to enter into a put and call option and a concurrent lease over the property.

The general effect of the arrangement was to confer on the Trust all benefits, obligations and responsibilities accruing to the owner of the Parramatta property. Both the concurrent lease and put and call option terminated or lapsed by 18 August 2008 and the Parramatta property for accounting purposes was transferred to SHT2 from the Trust with a market value of \$37,500,000 on 18 August 2008. The legal title to the property however, has always remained with SHT2. The Responsible Entity decided that it was in the best interest of Unitholders to complete the acquisition of the Parramatta property by making a capital distribution to Unitholders and applying that capital distribution on Unitholders' behalf to subscribe for units in SHT2 and stapling those units to the units in the consolidated entity. This stapling arrangement occurred on 18 August 2008. Therefore subsequent to the stapling arrangement, the Parramatta property remains an asset of stapled entity which comprises of the consolidated entity and its controlled entities and SHT2.

Under the put and call deed, the Trust paid to SHT2 a call option fee of \$8.01 million for the purchase of the Parramatta property. This fee was refunded to the Trust in June 2008.

On 18 August 2008, the put and call option lapsed, allowing the stapling arrangement to be effected.

The deferred consideration of \$32,032,000 relating to the purchase of the Parramatta property was paid to Stockland by SHT2 in August 2008.

Stockland granted a concurrent lease to the Trust until August 2008. A 12 month rental guarantee on all vacancies has been provided to the Trust at the time of entering into the concurrent lease.

22 CONTROLLED ENTITIES

The following entities were 100% controlled by the parent entity during the current and previous financial years:

Controlled entities of Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3

SDOT 3 Property No. 1 Trust

SDOT 3 Property No. 2 Trust

SDOT 3 Property No. 3 Trust

SDOT 3 Property No. 4 Trust

SDOT 3 Property No. 5 Trust

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
--	----------------	----------------

23 INTERCOMPANY LOANS BETWEEN THE TRUST AND SUB-TRUSTS

Aggregate amount receivable by the Trust from its sub-trusts

60,249 67,238

The loans are interest free and repayable at call.

Controlled entities paid distributions of \$6,474,000 (2008: \$4,965,000) to the parent entity.

	Stapled 2009 \$'000	Consolidated 2008 \$'000	Trust 2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
--	---------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------

24 COMMITMENTS

As at 30 June 2009, the stapled entity and the Trust have no commitments (2008: \$Nil).

NON-CANCELLABLE OPERATING LEASE RECEIVABLE FROM INVESTMENT PROPERTY TENANTS

Non-cancellable operating lease commitments receivable:

Within one year

12,026 11,691 - 3,863

Later than one year but not later than five years

21,985 31,691 - 13,162

Later than five years

1,427 2,418 - 2,105

35,438 45,800 - 19,130

25 OTHER INFORMATION

LIFE OF THE TRUST

The Trust terminates on the earliest of:

- (a) the date specified by the Responsible Entity as the date of termination of the Trust in a notice given to Unitholders;
- (b) a date which has been proposed to Unitholders by the Responsible Entity, and which the Unitholders have approved by Special Resolution; or
- (c) the date on which the Trust terminates in accordance with the provisions of the Trust Constitution or by law.

26 CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND CONTINGENT ASSETS

As at 30 June 2009, the stapled entity has no contingent liabilities (2008: \$Nil).

As at 30 June 2009, a contingent asset exists in relation to the rental guarantee for the Belconnen property. The Belconnen property is currently let to one tenant until 2012. Stockland has provided a rental guarantee for 12 months in the event that the tenant vacates the property at the end of their current lease that expires in 2012. Stockland will pay a minimum of \$1.8 million regardless of whether any part of the property remains vacant with a maximum amount payable of \$3.6 million if the property is vacant for the full 12 months. The guaranteed minimum payment has been recognised as a present valued receivable as at 30 June 2008 with the remaining portion of \$1.8 million being treated as a contingent asset.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

27 NET CURRENT ASSET DEFICIENCY

In preparing these Financial Statements, the Directors note that the stapled entity is in a net current asset deficiency position of \$83,194,000 (2008: deficiency of \$28,648,000) due to the \$83,353,000 drawn down under the loan facility with ANZ maturing on 27 June 2010.

As a result of the stapled entity's declining property values which is consistent with general property value movements across the economy, as at 30 June 2009, the Trust was not in compliance with the LVR covenant under the loan facility agreement. On 30 June 2009, the Directors received written confirmation from ANZ that it will waive its rights to take any action against the Trust in relation to the Trust not being in compliance with the LVR covenant. Consequently, ANZ will not be exercising its right to call for immediate repayment of the debt as at 30 June 2009.

Whilst a waiver of the Trust's LVR covenant as at 30 June 2009 has been received, the stapled entity's ability to continue as a going concern and meet its debts and covenants as they fall due are dependent on management being successful in refinancing the loan facility and the Trust being in compliance with future loan covenants which may require the sale of one or more of the stapled entity's properties to reduce the outstanding loan facility.

At the Meeting of Members held on 30 June 2009, a Special Resolution was approved by Unitholders to authorise the Responsible Entity to sell all or any of the properties of the Trust at such time and upon such terms it considers necessary to prevent potential future breaches of any financing facility of the Trust or otherwise protect or enhance the financial position or continuation of the Trust.

Due to the maturity date being within 12 months of the date of this report, there is uncertainty surrounding the Trust's ability to refinance or repay the facility should ANZ call upon the debt. Although this uncertainty exists, the Directors consider that there are reasonable grounds to expect the facility will be refinanced.

Based on management's continuing discussions regarding the refinancing of the loan facility and active management of the stapled entity's properties, the Directors are not aware of any circumstances that may lead to the debt being called. Accordingly, at the date of this report, being 25 August 2009, the Directors have formed the view that the Financial Report for the year ended 30 June 2009 can be prepared on a going concern basis.

This view was formed by taking into account a number of factors including the financial position of the stapled entity as at 30 June 2009 and information known at the date of this report, and includes the following:

- As at 30 June 2009, the stapled entity's total assets equal \$122,236,000. This value is 1.5 times the amount of drawn debt of \$83,353,000; and
- The Trust can demonstrate that it can service the interest payments on the existing loan facility. In addition, the Trust will be able to fund an increase in margin on the loan facility.

28 EVENTS SUBSEQUENT TO THE END OF THE YEAR

There have been no events subsequent to the end of the year to the date of this report which, in the opinion of the Directors, would have a material effect on the stapled entity's or Trust's Financial Statements as at 30 June 2009.

Directors' Declaration

For the Year Ended 30 June 2009

In the opinion of the Directors of Stockland Capital Partners Limited, the Responsible Entity of Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3 and its controlled entities:

- 1 the Financial Statements and Notes set out on pages 6 to 30 are in accordance with the Corporations Act 2001 including:
 - (a) giving a true and fair view of the Trust's and stapled entity's financial position as at 30 June 2009 and of their performance for the financial year ended on that date; and
 - (b) complying with Australian Accounting Standards (including the Australian Accounting Interpretations) and the Corporations Regulations 2001.
- 2 the Financial Report also complies with International Financial Reporting Standards as disclosed in Note 1(a);
- 3 at the date of this declaration there are reasonable grounds to believe that the stapled entity will be able to pay its debts as and when they become due and payable;
- 4 the Trust has operated during the financial year ended 30 June 2009 in accordance with the provisions of the Trust Constitution as amended dated 13 March 2007; and
- 5 the Register of Unitholders has, during the financial year ended 30 June 2009, been properly drawn and maintained so as to give a true account of the Unitholders of the Trust.

Signed in accordance with a resolution of the Directors of the Responsible Entity made pursuant to Section 295(5) of the Corporations Act 2001.

Signed in accordance with a resolution of the Directors:



Peter Scott
Chairman

Dated at Sydney, 25 August 2009

Independent Auditor's Report

to the Unitholders of Stockland Direct Office Trust No.3



Independent auditor's report to the stapled unitholders of Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3

The Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3 stapled entity (Stapled Entity) comprises the consolidation of Stockland Direct Office Trust No. 3 (the Trust) and its controlled entities, including Stockland Holding Trust No. 2.

We have audited the accompanying financial report of the Stapled Entity, which comprises the balance sheets as at 30 June 2009, and the income statements, statements of changes in equity and cash flow statements for the year ended on that date, a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory notes 1 to 28 and the directors' declaration of the Stapled Entity comprising the Trust and the entities it controlled at the year's end or from time to time during the financial year.

Directors' responsibility for the financial report

The directors of the Responsible Entity, Stockland Capital Partners Limited, are responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial report in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards (including the Australian Accounting Interpretations) and the *Corporations Act 2001*. This responsibility includes establishing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial report that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies; and making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances. In note 1(a), the directors of the Responsible Entity also state, in accordance with Australian Accounting Standard AASB 101 *Presentation of Financial Statements*, that the financial report, comprising the financial statements and notes, complies with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial report based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards. These Auditing Standards require that we comply with relevant ethical requirements relating to audit engagements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial report is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial report. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial report, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Stapled Entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial report in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Stapled Entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by the directors, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial report.

We performed the procedures to assess whether in all material respects the financial report presents fairly, in accordance with the *Corporations Act 2001* and Australian Accounting Standards (including the Australia Accounting Interpretations), a view which is consistent with

Independent Auditor's Report

to the Unitholders of Stockland Direct Office Trust No.3



our understanding of the Trust's and the Stapled Entity's financial position, and of its performance.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Independence

In conducting our audit, we have complied with the independence requirements of the *Corporations Act 2001*.

Auditor's opinion

In our opinion the financial report of the Stapled Entity is in accordance with the *Corporations Act 2001*, including:

- (i) giving a true and fair view of the Trust's and the Stapled Entity's financial position as at 30 June 2009 and of its performance for the year ended on that date and complying with Australian Accounting Standards (including the Australian Accounting Interpretations) and the Corporations Regulations 2001.
- (ii) the financial report also complies with International Financial Reporting Standards as disclosed in note 1(a).

Significant uncertainty regarding continuation as a going concern

Without qualification to the opinion expressed above, we draw attention to notes 1(b) and 27 to the financial statements. As at 30 June 2009, the Stapled Entity has a net current asset deficiency of \$83,194,000, which is mainly due to the current classification of the Trust's loan facility, due to expire on 27 June 2010. The ability of the Stapled Entity to continue as a going concern and meet its debts and commitments as they fall due is dependent upon the Trust being successful in refinancing the loan facility and the Stapled Entity being in compliance with future loan covenants which may require the sale of one or more of the Stapled Entity's properties to reduce the outstanding loan facility.

KPMG

Scott Fleming
Partner

Sydney

25 August 2009

KPMG, an Australian partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International, a Swiss cooperative.

Stockland Corporation Ltd

ACN 000 181 733

Head Office

Level 25, 133 Castlereagh Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Sydney

Telephone 02 9035 2000

Melbourne

Telephone 03 9095 5000

Brisbane

Telephone 07 3305 8600

Perth

Telephone 08 9368 9222

United Kingdom

37 Maddox Street

London

W1S 2PP

Telephone +44 (0) 845 070 4633

www.stockland.com.au



Stockland

Disclaimer of Liability

While every effort is made to provide accurate and complete information, Stockland does not warrant or represent that the information in this brochure is free from errors or omissions or is suitable for your intended use. Subject to any terms implied by law and which cannot be excluded, Stockland accepts no responsibility for any loss, damage, cost or expense (whether direct or indirect) incurred by you as a result of any error, omission or misrepresentation in information. Note: All figures are in Australian dollars unless otherwise indicated.